UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Effective May 22, 2006	
Mark Cashman Ciro Aiello Plaintiff(s),	NOTICE OF COURT CONFERENCE	
-V-	<u>07 Civ. 3236</u> (JSR)	
Menu Foods Midwest Corporation, et al. Defendant(s).		
To: The Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s):		

The Honorable Jed S. Rakoff, U.S.D.J. has ordered that counsel for all parties attend a conference, at the time and place fixed below, for the purpose of case management and scheduling pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16. You are directed to furnish all attorneys in this action with copies of this notice and enclosures, and to furnish Chambers with a copy of any transmittal letter(s). If you are unaware of the identity of counsel for any of the parties, you should send a copy of the notice and rules to that party personally, informing the party that any unrepresented party is required to appear at the conference in person. Finally, upon receipt of this notice, please immediately furnish Chambers with a courtesy copy of your complaint and F.R.C.P. Rule 7.1 Statement, if applicable.

<u>DATE AND PLACE OF CONFERENCE</u>: <u>JUNE 25, 2007</u>, AT THE UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE, 500 PEARL STREET, NEW YORK, N.Y. IN COURTROOM 14-B AT <u>11:00 a.m.</u>

No application for adjournment will be considered unless made within one week of the date of this notice. The fact that any party has not answered the complaint does not excuse attendance by that party or warrant any adjournment of the conference.

No later than one week prior to the conference, the parties shall furnish the Court with a written report of their agreements or disagreements regarding planning of discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). Enclosed is a form (Form D) for a Case Management Plan that the parties may utilize in making this report. In the absence of agreement, the Court, after hearing from counsel, will order a Case Management Plan and schedule at the conference. Absent extraordinary circumstances, the Plan shall provide that the case be ready for trial within five months of the date of the conference.

In addition to the matters covered in Form D, counsel should also be prepared to address at the conference the factual and legal bases for their claims or defenses, any issue as to subject matter jurisdiction, and any other issue relevant to case management.

SO ORDERED.

JED S. RAKOFF

U.S.D.J.

DATED: New York, New York

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DOC #:

DATE FILED: <u>4-27-07</u>

	sed Form D—For cases assigned to Judge Rakoff TED STATES DISTRICT COURT	Effective March 29, 2004
	THERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	k Cashman Aiello Plaintiff(s),	CIVIL CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN (JUDGE RAKOFF)
	-V-	<u>07 Civ. 3236</u> (JSR)
	u Foods Midwest Corporation, et al. Defendant(s).	
- 	This Court requires that this case <u>NOVEMBER 2</u>	shall be <u>ready for trial</u> on
This	After consultation with counsel for the parties, the plan is also a scheduling order pursuant to Rules 16 at	
A.	The case (is) (is not) to be tried to a jury. [Circle	as appropriate]
B.	Joinder of additional parties must be accomplished	by
C.	Amended pleadings may be filed without leave of	Court until
D.	Discovery (in addition to the disclosures required b	y Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)):
	1. <u>Documents.</u> First request for production of document requerequest may be served later than 30 days prior to the 6 below.	sts may be served as required, but no document
	2. <u>Interrogatories</u> . Interrogatories pursuant to Rul District of New York must be served by permitted except upon prior express permission of need be served with respect to disclosures automatic	No other interrogatories are Judge Rakoff. No Rule 33.3(a) interrogatories
	3. Experts. Every party-proponent of a claim (incomparty claim) that intends to offer expert testimony is required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by claim that intends to offer expert testimony in opport required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) by designated as "rebuttal" or otherwise) will be permopinions covered by the aforesaid disclosures excess application for which must be made no later than 1 preceding sentence. All experts may be deposed, but the low the sentence of the low of the sentence of the low.	n respect of such claim must make the disclosures Every party-opponent of such sition to such claim must make the disclosures No expert testimony (whether itted by other experts or beyond the scope of the pt upon prior express permission of the Court, 0 days after the date specified in the immediately

co de Fe De	mpleted by	not commence until all 6(a)(1) or until four we proceed concurrently,	ing any expert depositions, see item 3 about Unless counsel agree otherwise or the Coparties have completed the initial discloseks from the date of this Order, whicheve with no party having priority, and no dep	ourt so orders, sures required by er is earlier.
5. [in	Requests to A	_	eave of the Court. nit, if any, must be served by prior to date of close of discovery as set f	
ab pa	ove may be ex rties are <u>certair</u>	tended by the parties or they can still meet the	. Interim deadling consent without application to the Court discovery completion date set forth in the lowing to the Court of extraordinary circumstance.	t, provided the is paragraph, which
Practice m motion, in following	nay be brought the form spec the close-of-di	on without further consified in the Court's Indiscovery date (item D-6, answering papers by	ons in the form prescribed by the Court's sultation with the Court provided that a N vidual Rules of Practice, is filed no later above) and provided that the moving paper, and reply paper being no later than six weeks following the	totice of any such than one week pers are served by s by
such pape the parties]. Each party rers are served.	nust file its respective p Additionally, on the sa to deliver a courtesy no	papers with the Clerk of the Court on the me date that reply papers are served and on-electronic hard copy of the complete	e same date that filed, counsel for
motions, s Court shal	shall be held on Il set a firm tria	d date. The timing and	ral argument on any post-discovery summ [date to be inserted by the Court], at work other requirements for the Joint Pretrial Court's Individual Rules of Practice.	vhich time the
Counsel sl	hall promptly f	amiliarize themselves v	verned by Judge Rakoff's Individual Rule vith all of the Court's Individual Rules, as for the Southern District of New York.	
	SO ORDERE	ED.		
DATED:	New York, N	lew York	JED S. RAKOFF U.S.D.J.	